

* The original of this document contains information which is subject to withholding from disclosure under 5 U.S.C. 552. Such material has been deleted from this copy and replaced with XXXXXX's.

February 28, 2005

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

Name of Case: Worker Appeal

Date of Filing: September 29, 2004

Case No.: TIA-0227

XXXXXXXXXX (the Applicant) applied to the Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Worker Advocacy (OWA) for assistance in filing for state workers' benefits. The OWA referred the application to an independent Physician Panel (the Panel), which determined that the Worker's illness was not related to his work at the DOE. The OWA accepted the Panel's determination, and the Applicant filed an appeal with the DOE's Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA). The OHA remanded the application for further consideration. See *Worker Appeal*, TIA-0126, 28 DOE ¶ 80, 295 (2003). Upon reconsideration, the Panel again issued a negative determination, and the Applicant filed the instant appeal. As explained below, we have concluded that the Appeal should be dismissed as moot.

I. Background

A. The Relevant Statute and Regulations

The Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 as amended (the Act) concerns workers involved in various ways with the nation's atomic weapons program. See 42 U.S.C. §§ 7384, 7385. As originally enacted, the Act provided for two programs. Subpart B established a Department of Labor (DOL) program providing federal compensation for certain illnesses. See 20 C.F.R. Part 30. Subpart D established a DOE assistance program for DOE contractor employees filing for state workers' compensation benefits. Under the DOE program, an independent physician panel assessed whether a claimed illness or death arose out of and in the course of the worker's employment and exposure to a toxic substance, at a

DOE facility. 42 U.S.C. § 7385o (d) (3); 10 C.F.R. Part 852 (the Physician Panel Rule). The OWA was responsible for this program, and its web site provides extensive information concerning the program.

The Physician Panel Rule provided for an appeal process. An Applicant could appeal a decision by the OWA not to submit an application to a Physician Panel, a negative determination by a Physician Panel that was accepted by the OWA, and a final decision by the OWA not to accept a Physician Panel determination in favor of an Applicant. The instant appeal was filed pursuant to that Section. The Applicant sought review of a negative determination by a Physician Panel that was accepted by the OWA. 10 C.F.R. § 852.18(a)(2).

While the Applicant's appeal was pending, Congress repealed Subpart D. Ronald W. Reagan Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005, Pub. L. No. 108-375 (October 28, 2004). Congress added a new subpart to the Act, Subpart E, which establishes a DOL workers' compensation program for DOE contractor employees. Under Subpart E, all Subpart D claims will be considered as Subpart E claims. OHA continues to process appeals until DOL commences Subpart E administration.

B. Procedural Background

The Applicant was employed as a general laborer at the Amchitka Island Nuclear Explosion Site (the site). The Applicant filed for, and received, a positive DOL Subpart B determination for colon cancer.

The Applicant also filed an application with the OWA, requesting that a physician panel review the Applicant's colon cancer. The Applicant asserted that this illness was due to exposure to toxic and hazardous materials at the site. The Physician Panel rendered a negative determination which the OWA accepted. The Applicant appealed, and the OHA remanded the application for further consideration. See *Worker Appeal*, TIA-0126, 28 DOE 80,295 (2003). The Panel issued a second negative determination and the Applicant filed the instant appeal.

II. Analysis

Under the Physician Panel Rule, independent physicians rendered an opinion whether a claimed illness was related to exposure to toxic substances during employment at a DOE facility. The Rule required that the Panel address each claimed illness, make a finding whether that illness was related to toxic exposure at the DOE site, and state the basis for that finding. 10 C.F.R. § 852.12.

The Applicant's positive DOL Subpart B determination satisfies the Subpart E requirement that the illness be related to toxic exposure during employment at DOE. Accordingly, Subpart E has rendered moot the physician panel determination and consideration of any challenge to the Panel report is not necessary.

As the foregoing indicates, the appeal should be dismissed as moot. In compliance with Subpart E, the claim will be transferred to the DOL for review. The DOL is in the process of developing procedures for evaluating and issuing decisions on these claims. OHA's dismissal of this claim does not purport to dispose of or in any way prejudice the DOL's review of the claim under Subpart E.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- (1) The Appeal filed in Worker Advocacy Case No. TIA-0227 be, and hereby is, dismissed.
- (2) This dismissal pertains only to the DOE claim and not to the DOL's review of this claim under Subpart E.
- (3) This is a final order of the Department of Energy.

George B. Breznay
Director
Office of Hearings and Appeals

Date: February 28, 2005